

QUESTIONS TO THE ENVIRONMENT PORTFOLIO HOLDER FROM JANE GREEN FOR ORAL REPLY

1. Appendix B, Agenda Item 6b (Environment PDS 2/2/16) lists Wickham Way for "Proposed Footway Resurfacing Schemes 2016/17."
This road has a distinctive red brick pavement with a landscape strip.
Can you please clarify whether the whole, or just part, of the pavement in Wickham Way is to be resurfaced and that the treatment will be 'like for like'?

Reply

The planned footway works in Wickham Way will consist of localised repairs to the red brick paving in those areas which have loose pavers or an uneven surface. Repairs will be completed using 'like for like' materials.

Supplementary Question

Jane Green sought further clarification on where repairs would be undertaken in Wickham Way.

Reply

The Portfolio Holder indicated that advice would be provided; officers would undertake a full review and repair where necessary. Members of the public were also welcome to report any concerns such as trip hazards.

2. How much has been allocated in the budget for the scheduled footway resurfacing works in Wickham Way?

Reply

The works will be fully funded from the planned footway maintenance budget, although a detailed estimate has yet to be prepared for this particular project.

Supplementary Question

In view of budget constraints, Jane Green enquired of the powers the Council has to take action against householders causing any damage to the footway.

Reply

The Portfolio Holder indicated that it was necessary to find people in the act of causing damage in order for officers to initiate prosecution action. Any assistance that the local Residents Association could provide would be helpful. Jane Green commented that she had some photographs which she felt the Portfolio Holder would find useful.

Councillor Fawthrop asked whether the Portfolio Holder would agree that where examples of anti-social behaviour were seen, the full weight of prosecution action should be taken against an offender, as there would ultimately be a cost to the local Council taxpayer should this not be the case. Referring to the example of enforcement against fly-tipping, the Portfolio Holder indicated that even though a small number of offences were witnessed, on each occasion it was possible to obtain a witness statement, the Council would proceed strenuously to take the maximum level of enforcement action.

QUESTIONS TO THE ENVIRONMENT PORTFOLIO HOLDER FOR WRITTEN REPLY

Questions from Mr Richard Gibbons

1. Following Policyholders' comments in News Shopper in response to parents of Pratts Bottom Primary School children call for action to reduce vehicle speeds in Rushmore Hill - an area prone to traffic incidents - please quantify degree of pedestrian suffering necessary for action to be taken here, and elsewhere in the borough where similar calls have been made?

Reply

It is difficult to answer your question concerning "traffic incidents" as it is not clear precisely what you have in mind when you use the term.

So far as *traffic accidents* are concerned, I can confirm that Rushmore Hill remains one of the Boroughs safer roads distributor roads.

You would need to clarify precisely what you mean by "pedestrian suffering" and "elsewhere in the Borough" to enable me to respond in a helpful and informed manner.

2. How many miles/kilometres of roads in LB Bromley are designated with 20mph, 30mph, 40mph, 50mph, 60mph, 70mph limits, including a breakdown between local authority and TfL control/management/maintenance?

Reply

Please find enclosed maps that show the roads in the Borough of Bromley by speed limit. The TLRN (Transport for London Road Network) is solely the A21 (full length) and the A232 from Locksbottom (A21 junction) westward to the Borough boundary.

I hope this is what you need.
